

~~Destroy~~
preserve

App 1 IX 4

1935
(*enc*)

File No 101-38

Previous References

ml 138-32-3-4
" 1-2
" 2-35, 39, 40 & 42
enc Dep 386 7-35

Serial Nos 12, 14, 20
22, 27

Letter References

ml 134-37 (3, 7, 13, 15)
ml 68-48 (1, 2, 5 & 6)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos 12, 14, 20, 22 & 27

Part B for October 1937

SUBJECT

Draft Proclamation for the exclusion of the
Kyashamachahali Village from the Mysore
City Municipal limits

ml 3482 dated 9 10 37

Noted

WD 0418-GPB-22 500-29 8 86

8/12

Serial
No

12

Letter No Q T 2338 dated 5-5-36 from the President, City Municipal Council, Mysore stating that the Municipal Council have approved of the exclusion of the Kyathamanahalli Village from the Mysore City Municipal limits and forwarding for publication draft proclamation in the matter -

| The Kyathamanahalli Village was included within the limits of the Mysore City Municipality as per Notification No 2 4454/Me 138-32-4 dated 5-1-1933

¶ The Municipal Council have now resolved that, as they cannot provide even the ordinary civic amenities to the village on account of the present financial position of the Municipality and the insufficient revenue realisable from the Village, the Village be excluded from the Municipal limits. But the Council have ^{also} ~~have~~ resolved that the villagers may be permitted to compound the tolls payable on their carts under Section 83(4) of the City Metrics Regulation. In resolving as above, the Council have considered the petition from the ^xVillagers and Mr Theobald's letter objecting to the proposal.

N x

B x

M

⑦

Decision of Kyathamanahalli Village from the Mysore city ML limits

MR File No 101 $\frac{5106}{2}$ of 1935

General and Revenue
Secretariat

The President has forwarded a draft proclamation
for publication in the Gazette

Submitted for orders with references

Rmr my/
8/5

P

4 The draft notification is for orders. The President
note 861234 explains the position. Please see also petition of *A
villagers of 12236 Chetty (9/5)

2nd member
(Bawan)

11-5-36

5 Mr
12/5/36

6 Mr
14-5-36

7 Issue draft
15/5

The Compiler Mysore Gazette

No 1 8903 dated 20-5-36

Notifies the preliminary notification

L 8904 dated 20-5-36

Copy to D C Mysore

L 8905 dated 20-5-36



Copy to the President, Mysore city Mety-

Petition dated 23-6-36 from the Yeomans of
Kyathamanahalli Village protesting against
the proposed exclusion of their Village from
the Mysore city Municipal limits.

CHIEF SECRETARY

9 MAY 1936

8727



Sub B Note 20/5/36

Rmr
21/5

Exclusion of Kyathamamahal Village from Mysore city ML limits

General & Revenue
Secretariat

5106 JS
ML File No 101 of 1935

[App F III-2]

Serial
No

8 The receipt of final proposals in the matter may be awaited

Rmr for 9 The petition may perhaps be sent to the ^{Deputy Commr} Mysore for being sent up along with the other objection petitions if any 2/7/36

Ch Secy) 10 yr
the
2/7
2/7/36

11

Draft submitted for approval

Rmr for 12 2/7/36

The DC Mysore

- No 2132 dated 4-7-36

For the petition in original to be sent up to Govt along with other objection petitions -

Letter No D-4273/25-36 dated 25-8-36 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District forwarding an objection petition from the residents of the Village protesting against the proposed exclusion of the Village from the Mysore city Municipal limits and stating that he is not in favour of the proposal of the Council

13 The main reason for the Municipal Council proposing the exclusion of Kyathamamahal Village from the Municipal limits is that on account of the present financial position of the

CHIEF SECRETARY
3 JUL 1936



Sus/A
6/7

Exclusion of Kyathamahal Village from the Mysore City Municipal

85982

1449 236

Me File No 101

of 1935-

General and Revenue
Secretariat

the Municipality, they cannot provide amenities to the village and that the income from the Village is not sufficient. But the Dy Commr, Mysore states that the villagers of Kyathamahal have not complained about want of civic amenities in their village and that it is the Municipal Council that Suo moto feel their inability to ^{give it} ~~increase~~ ^{the} ~~provide~~ amenities in the village. In these circumstances, the D C is ~~not~~ of opinion that the present proposal of the Municipal Council is not well-considered.

1. Submitted for orders Refers 4 to 7
and 9 No Supra
Rm 31/8 from

15 The reasons for excluding Kyathamahal Village from the limits of the Mysore City M.C. are clearly explained in the Memo dated 6.12.34 (Fly P). The D.C. however considers that the Village may continue to remain within the limits (Pub II) 88
11/9/36

Ch. Secy) 16 This is the file relating to the inclusion of the Village in the limits. When the M.C. resolved upon excluding certain areas from the limits, would it be correct to veto the resolution? The legal aspect of the case may be examined.

1 S. 1935

17 Adv. Secy, Law)
(Adv. Compt. No)

MS
2/7
H
2/9/36

66
Law
2/9

Exclusion of Kyathamanchali Village from the Municipality limits

952
/

General & Revenue
Secretariat

File No \ 01

of 1935

[App F III-2]

Serial
No

18) The power to extend or contract or otherwise to alter the limits of a Municipality is a power vested in Govt under sec 4 of the City M's Regs. The resolution of a M'C' in this respect has only the force of a recommendation and the question of vetoing such Resolⁿ does not therefore arise. It is open to Govt to accept or reject the recommendation of M'C' in the matter as they think fit. Sec 7(3) says that no notification thereunder shall issue unless Govt are of opinion that the objections raised to the issue of such notⁿ is "unavailing or invalid."

asst Secy & Secy
with (comp)

1802
3/9

19) Refce - Ch Secy's query overleaf
file No M 135-325 to 344 (Part IV)
relating to the inclusion of the Village in
the limits to put up

88
3/9/36

CHIEF SECRETARY
3 SEP 1936

Ch Secy) - 20) The refce file put up does not give the previous history of the case. It is only a proclamation. The refce file cont^s the recommendation for inclusion of the Village may be put up

1118
369
4/19/36

Exclusion of Kyathamamballu Village from the Mysore city

M.E. File No 101

982

L

of 1935

General and Revenue
Secretariat

21) ² File M.E. 138-32(1-2) leading to the issue of the preliminary notification calling for objection to the proposal is submitted

Rmr
4/9

88
579/36

Ch. Scrymgeour ²²⁾ The Mysore City has been ^{but it has - somewhat} inconsistent in regard to the inclusion and exclusion of Kyathamamballu from the mil limits. It is a little over 3 years since the village refused to be included in mil limits. The reasons for proposing exclusion of the village from mil limits are contained in the note of the Pundit dated 6.12.1934 (Flag P). There is some administrative difficulty in showing things to continue as they are. Part of the village is a Panchayat and part of it is under mil adme. I think the inclusion of the part village in mil limits was somewhat of a hasty step. It has now become a question of prestige with the village to ^{continue to} be part of the milty. Grounds of sanitation and interests of the city proper urged by the village are only plausible. The interests of the city could easily be safeguarded irrespective of considerations relating to ^{the health and sanitation of} Kyathamamballu. Either the whole of the Panchayat village may be included in the milty or the part inclusion previously advised cancelled as per resolution of the mil council.

2nd member)

CHIEF CLERK
5 SEP 1936

OFFICE OF
SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
5 SEPT 1936
NO. 1607-

ms
579/36
579/36

Exclusion of Kyathramanahalli for
982 the grant of
red lands

General and Revenue
 Secretariat

File No

19

of 1935/36

[App F III-2]

Serial
 No

23

The Dy Comm and the President may
 further discuss the matter between themselves,
 and report to Govt what they consider to
 be the best arrangement in the special
 circumstances of the village

Recd

8/9/36

ms

8/9

8/9/36

24

Draft letter to the D C Mysore is submitted for apppr

Rm
 9/9/36

25

9/9/36



The Deputy Commr, Mysore Dt
 No 2 2004 dated 14-9-36

Requests him to discuss the matter with the
 President and report to Govt what they consider
 to be the best arrangement in the special
 circumstances of the village

No 2 2005 dated 14-9-36 copy to President

Mysore City Municipality

✓
 Sub A
 16/9/36

P To

Exclusion of Kyathamanehalli Village from the Mysore
city Municipal limits

File No

982/2940

of 1935

General and Revenue
Secretariat

Letter No D3-4273/35-36 dated 23-12-36 from
the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District submitting
a report on the matter.

1. The D C states that the question was
discussed by him with the President, Mysore
city Municipality who has ^{since} been convinced
of the fact that Kyathamanehalli Village
~~should~~ ^{need} not be excluded from the Mysore city
Municipal limits as proposed.

2. In the circumstances the proposal of the
Municipal Council to exclude the village from
the City Municipal limits has perhaps to be
rejected.

28 Submitted for orders with reference to para 23 *supra*

Rev for
30/12

(Ch. Secy)

27 Let us have the President's
agreement with the D C's
views is given.

18
2/1/37

MJ
4/1/37
4/1/37



16 Draft letter to the President, Mysore city Municipality
is submitted for approval.

Rev for
7/1/37

31 *Secy*
5/1/37

The President, city Municipal Council, Mysore
No 2 5003 dated 13-1-37

Requests him to intimate his
agreement with the views of the D C Mysore



✓
1/1/37

Exclusion of Kyathamamahalli Village from the
Mysore city Municipal limits

9

General and Revenue
Secretariat

Me File No 101

of 1935

[App F III-2

Serial
No

Letter No & T 2572 dated 14-5-37 from the President, city Municipal council, Mysore, requesting in the circumstances explained, sanction to the resolution of the Municipal Council to exclude the Kyathamamahalli Village from the city Municipal limits.

32 In accordance with the Second Member's orders in para 23 supra, the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore Dt and the President, Mysore city Municipality were requested to discuss between themselves ~~ad hoc~~ whether ~~exclusion was or exclusion from~~ or not to exclude the Kyathamamahalli Village from the Mysore city Municipal limits.

33 The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore reported that the President of the Mysore city Municipality was in agreement with his views viz ~~not~~ not to exclude Kyathamamahalli Village from the city Municipal limits. Thereupon the President of the Mysore city Municipality was requested to furnish his views in the matter. He now seems to be differing from the views of the Dy Commr, Mysore and he requests sanction to the resolution of the Municipal Council to exclude the

Exclusion of Kyathamanehalli Village from the
Mysore city Municipal limits

Me File No 101

2940/5102

of 1935

General and
Secretariat

Serial
No

DEWAN'S OFFICE

24 MAY 1937

No 5232

CHIEF SECRETARY
20/1/1937
T OF MYSORE

Village from the city Municipal limits

34 Submitted for orders with reference to
para 29 supra

Room for
19/5 (Chief Secy)

Rel
20/1/37

35 It is not clear as to how the DC reports
that the President of the body agreed with him
in regard to the non exclusion of Kyathamanehalli
from the limits

36 The President seems to hold strong views
to the contrary and ^{has} adhered to the resolution of
the body

37 In these circumstances I would submit
for consideration the acceptance of the resolution
of the body. As I remember the inclusion of
the village would seem to have been an election
more to secure a larger number of votes for
particular Division

2nd member }
+
Dewan }

MS
21/5

CC mem
22/5/37

39 MS
24-5-37

mem
25/5/37

MS
26/5

OFF C F
N C IL
8469³⁷

Exclusion of Kyathamanahalli Village from the Mysore
City Municipal Limits //

General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No

1015/02
J

of 1935

[App F III-2

Serial
No

40 Draft Notification is submitted for
approval

Rm for
2/6

41 Draft of a so calling for
further information is subd for
approval, as personally directed

for
5/6/37

42 Jm Mys 6/1/37

23

The President, City Municipal Council, Mysore
D O No 28724 dated 8-6-37

Calls for certain information -

43 As no reply has yet been received,
a draft reminder is subd for approval

for
6/7/37

Issued. No 2848 dated 7-7-37

24 From the Post City Meece Mysore
D O No 27257 22-7-37

states that the major portion of Kyatha-
manahalli village including the village
site of Gavathana is proposed to be
excluded from the city limits & that
paragraph 14 may be reprinted in the

Exclusion of Kyathamamahal Uvala
Mysore City Municipal limits

File No 101

of 1935

General and
Secretariat

Serial
No

final notifications "Kyathamamahal
(excluding Uvala or Gavata) in
place of 'Kyathamamahal (including Uvala)'"

45 Necessary corrections have been effected in
the Paragraph 14 of the printed list showing
the boundaries as per letter of the P.O. Mysore
City M.C. of 22-7-37

46 The draft with the enclosure
26/7 has duly corrected for approval
- refer para 41 supra

47 For approval

26/7

Letter L.S.S. { Verify with ref to map
of the Boundary line described ex-
cludes the Uvala of Kyathamamahal
on the one side and the description
of the Boundary line which has been
altered also. The President may be
requested to verify this and send the
map for refce, as too not find a
map in the file

27/7

49) Draft submitted for approval

28/7

50) 28/7

"H"

P.U.D IV

477/165/7705

13

Serial
No
25

The President, City Municipal Council Mysore
No 2 1180 dated 2-8-37-

Requests him to verify with reference to
the map of the city of the proposed boundary
line excludes the village site of Kyathamanahalli

26 From the Pdt city mcl Mysore
No 55 953 D 24/25-9-37

states that on a verification with the map
of the city it is seen that the boundary line
excludes the village site as already reported
and also forwards a copy of the map showing
the boundary line marked in red

51 Said with reference to Para 48 for orders

52 A petition addressed to HH by the inhabitants of
Kyathamanahalli praying for water supply &
for the continuance of the Mysore city mcl
admin over the village, since received in the
Branch is also put up (1 Flap K)

29/9/37 53 It is for order whether the district notification
may now

Levy (55)

55 Dft mcl may issue orders
if necessary has been considered more than once

103
1/10/37

The Compiler

No 2 3482 D 9-10-37

Notifies the alteration of the boundaries

No 2 3483-4 D 9-10-37

Copies to D-2 Mysore & Pdt Mysore city mcl

✓
Smt A
4/8/37

LOC. ELFCO
SEP 1937
CITY OF MYSOR

1. The petition has already been
considered under
paras 35 to 39 of the
order infra
29/9/37

TYPING SECTION
1 0 1 19 7
NOTE-Contn Sheet

P3
21/10/37

File No

of 193

General and Revenue
Secretariat

Serial
No

Dr GT 2338
24

5106 14

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL MYSORE

21st 5th MAY 1936

121/2 From

M A SREENIVASAN Esq BA
PRESIDENT CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL
MYSORE

ml 101-35-12

7 MAY 1936 The Chief Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore

Sir, 7/5

In enclosing herewith a draft proclamation issued under Section 7(1) of the City Municipalities Regulation for publication in the Gazette excluding the village of Kyathamahalli from the Municipal limits as proposed by the Municipal Council, I have the honour to state that on the recommendations of the Managing Committee to exclude the above Village from Municipal limits for reasons set forth in their resolution (copy enclosed) the Municipal Council at their meeting held on 27-7-1935 approved of the exclusion of the village

I request that the proclamation may kindly be caused to be published in the official gazette

The Proceedings of the Council meeting dated 27-7-1935 on the subject and the note dated 6-12-1934 from the then President on the subject are also submitted herewith

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M. Sreenivasan
President

PVR

Note

Subject:- Exclusion of Kyathamanahalli from Municipal limits

A portion of the village, of Kyathamanahalli situated on the north east of the City was, on the recommendation of the Municipal Council included within the City limits in Government Notification No L 4454/Ml 138-32-4 dated 5th January 1933 and has been assessed to Mohatarfa and vehicle taxes with effect from the official year 1933-34 ~~The annual demand against the village on account of Mohatarfa and vehicle taxes with effect from the official year 1933-34~~ the annual demand against the village on account of Mohatarfa and vehicle taxes is Rs 305/- and Rs 368/- respectively. The portion of the village included in Municipal limits is nearly 1 sq mile and the road leading to the village is only a track

In dealing with the collection of arrears due to the Village Panchayat from the village before its inclusion in the City limits, the Revenue authorities enquired whether orders of Government had been obtained as regards the assets and liabilities of the Village Panchayat before extending the Municipal limits. In this connection, a joint inspection of the village by the President in company with the Amildar of the Mysore Taluk was arranged and at that time it was observed that it is not desirable that only a portion of the village should be included in the City limits, the other portion continuing to function as a Village Panchayat, inasmuch as this arrangement caused much inconvenience in point of general administration and collection of revenue. It was urged that the entire village should either be within Municipal limits, or should continue to function as a village Panchayat. It was also observed that the village had not been provided with the required civic amenities in regard to street lighting, water supply and sanitary facilities, although it is nearly two years since it was included within Municipal limits. The question of cost comes in the way of providing these facilities

and it is doubtful, if in the near future, we can find money for expenditure on this village. As a remedy to the above inconveniences, it was suggested that the portion of the Kyathamahalli village included within the City limits, may be restored to the village Panchayat. It was also observed by the Revenue authorities that the amount collected by the Municipality, barring their actual expenses, if any, may be paid back to the village Panchayat Funds.

In view of the above facts, it is proposed that the portion of the Kyathamahalli village now within the Municipal limits may be restored to the Village Panchayat. The amount of taxes accruing from the village during the period it remains to be a part of the Municipal area has entirely to be credited to Municipal Funds, and no portion of it can be transferred to the Village Panchayat.

The one difficulty that the villagers feel by excluding the village from the City limits is that their carts which will be frequently entering the City will be denied the benefit of registration, and that they will therefore have to pay heavy sums annually to the Municipality in the shape of toll. This difficulty may, however, be mitigated by compounding the toll amount as per Section 83 (4) of the City Municipalities Regulation.

The matter is placed before the Managing Committee for their consideration.

Sd/-B I Kesava Iyengar,
President

"Copy"


President



Proceedings of the 3rd Ordinary) meeting of the Mysore C
Municipal Council held in the Council Chamber on Saturday the
27th July 1935 at 4 30 P m

Present

M.A Sreenivasan, Esq , B A.,P 'sident

- 1 Mohamed Sait, Esq , Vice-President
- 2 M F Krishna Rao, Esq ,
- 3 C Narasimhiah, Esq , B A.,B L.,
- 4 S Krishnaswamy Rao, Esq , B A ,LL B ,
- 5 A Venkatesiah, Esq , B A ,LL B ,
6. K.N Venkatakrishniah, Esq , B A ,
- 7 A Krishna Rao, Esq ,B A ,B L.,
8. V Lingappiah, Esq ,B A ,BL B ,
- 9 T Mariyappan Esq , B A ,LL B ,
- 10 Mohamed Ghaffar Peer, Esq ,
- 11 A J Ram, Esq ,
- 12 Hussain Ali, Esq ,
- 13 V Arumugamudaliar, Esq.,
- 14 Rev G W Sanyal
15. G Ahmad Khan, Esq ,
- 16 Mohamed Valliullah, Esq ,B A ,LL.B ,
- 17 B L Maridandiah, Esq ,

Absent

- 1 Rao Sahib Mamaswamy, Esq.,
- 2 P Satharamiah, Esq , B A ,B L ,
- 3 M C Thimmiah, Esq ,
- 4 A R Srikantha Sarma, Esq ,
- 5 D B Vithwambrarith, Esq.,
- 6 U L Ramacharira Rao, Esq ,
- 7 H C Dasappa, Esq , B A,LL B ,
- 8 W H Theobald, Esq ,
- 9 M Shankariah, Esq , B A ,LL B ,
10. K Dhanakoti Chetty, Esq ,
- 11 Y Virupakshiah, Esq ,
- 12 M K Madhava Rao Power, Esq ,

x x x

45 (1) Council's Resolution No 360 dated 5-3-1935 referring back to Managing Committee their (Managing Committee's) Resolution to exclude Kyathamanahalli village from Municipal limits together with the petition of the villagers and Mr Theobald's letter

(11) Managing Committee's Resolution No 306 dated 25-3-35 as under -

Resolution - Read President's note, the petition of the villagers and Mr Theobald's letter

(a) Resolved that as the Municipal Council cannot provide even the ordinary civic amenities-- such as street lighting, water supply and sanitary and other facilities to the village, on account of the present financial position of the Municipality, and the altogether insufficient revenue realisable from the village, the Managing Committee re-affirms its resolution No 189 dated 14-12-34 to ~~exclude~~ exclude Kyathamanahalli from Municipal limits

(b) Resolved ~~that~~ further that the villagers may be permitted to compound the tolls payable on their carts as per Section 83 Clause (4) of the City Municipal Regulation 1933. The Managing Committee further recommends that the fee for the composition of tolls for double bullock carts of Kyathamanahalli be fixed at Rs 8/- per annum

For the sake of convenience, the President divided the recommendations of the Managing Committee into three parts, the first part being as follows -

"Resolved that as the Municipal Council cannot provide even the ordinary civic amenities such as street lighting, water supply and sanitary and other facilities to the village, on account of the present financial position of the Municipality and the altogether insufficient revenue -- realisable from the village, the Managing Committee re-affirms its resolution No 189 dated 14-12-1934 to exclude Kyathamana-halli from Municipal limits

The resolution was put to vote and carried - 12 voting for and 2 against

The second part was as follows - (ii) "Resolved further that the villagers may be permitted to compound the tolls payable on their carts as per Section 83 Clause (4) of the City Municipal Regulation 1933"

Dr A J Ram seconded by Mr T Mariappa formally moved the resolution for adoption

Mr A Krishna Rao while opposing said that this should not be adopted as other villagers also would claim the benefit of this concession the granting of which would tell upon the finances of the Municipality. He added that the wear and tear of the carts on the roads in the City involved a heavy maintenance cost to the Municipality which did not warrant such compounding

Messrs C Narasimhiah and A Venkateshiah and a few others observed that this resolution was redundant in as much as Section 83 (4) of the Regulation provided for such a contingency and therefore the passing of the resolution by the Council was unnecessary

The resolution of the Managing Committee was then put to vote and carried-- 9 voting for and 7 against

Mr T Mariappa seconded by Mr A Venkatesiah demanded poll, the result being as under -

For	Against
Messrs	Messrs
1 Mariappa	C Narasimhiah
A J Ram	A Krishna Rao
Rev G W Sawday	V Lingappiah
Mohamed Valliulla	A Venkatesiah
Hussain Ali	K N Venkatakrishniah
Ahamed Khan	S Krishnaswamy Rao
V T Arumugam Mudaliar	M K Krishna Rao
Mohamed Ghouse Peer	

The last part of the Managing Committee's Resolution viz , 'The Managing Committee further recommends that the fee for the composition of tolls for double bullock carts of Kyathamana-halli be fixed at Rs 8/- per annum' was taken up for consideration

Mr Mohamed Valliulla seconded by Dr A J Ram moved the resolution for being adopted

Mr S Krishnaswamy Rao raised a point of order that the resolution, if passed would contravene Clause (4) of Section 83 of the Municipal Regulation, which expressly stated that the amount of fee for composition of toll should not be less than one-half of what each cart would have been liable to pay if the vehicle had to pay toll once every day. He there-

fore observed that the resolution of the Managing Committee was illegal and not in order

to express his views [The President gave an opportunity to Mr Mohamed Valiulla, the point raised - Mr Valiulla maintained that the motion was not contrary to the Regulation as suggested and interpreted the words "if the vehicle had to pay toll once every day during the period for which the pass is issued" as meaning only that the vehicle ~~had~~ have to pay for the days the vehicle actually passed the toll-gate during the period

The point of order raised by Mr Krishnaswamy Rao was upheld by the President and the motion ruled out

x x x

Sd/-M A Sreenivasan,
President

"Copy"

M. Krishnaswamy Rao

President.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

25

No L 8906 Edl

Bangalore Dated 29th May 1936.

From

The Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

To

The Superintendent
Government Printing
Bangalore

Sir

I enclose herewith a draft notification for the alteration of the Mysore City Municipal limits. As the matter is too long it is proposed to issue the notification ⁱⁿ after print. I therefore request that the matter be set in type and 15 unsigned copies thereof sent immediately to this office for being issued the remaining copies being sent later on. The accompanying draft may kindly be returned early along with printed copies.

I have the honour to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Atto

For Chief Secretary to Government

ACH 2

Law Draft
Copies sent
m 29 5 36
RB
Rmr

2-day
Special order 4224 - III-3
15 unassigned copies
to be sent today

L-8903

21

Education

Proclamation

ml 101-35-14

d 20-5-1936

signments to
be returned -

Under Section 8 of the Mysore City Municipalities Regulation 1933, it is hereby notified that the Mysore City Municipal Council proposes to alter the Municipal limits of the City towards south, west and north-west as shown in the revised boundary statement given hereunder

2 Persons objecting to the proposed alteration of the Municipal limits may, within two months from the date of this notice, prefer their objections in writing with reasons to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District. No objection received after the prescribed time will be considered

Proposed alteration of the Mysore City Municipality

1 The boundary line of the City of Mysore, commences at the new boundary stone 235 from the 1st furlong of the 4th mile on Yelwal road, and runs along the boundary line of Vijayasreepura village to the south of Survey No 267, and skirting the same survey number, runs along the north-eastern boundary of Survey No 268, and meets the south-west corner of Survey No 271 of the same village. Then the line runs north along western boundary of Survey No 271 and turning east at the north-west corner of the same survey number, meets the south-west corner of Survey No 64 of Maragowdanahalli village. From this point, the line runs along the western boundaries of Surgery Nos 64, 65, 67 and 69 of Maragowdanahalli village, and meeting the south-east corner of Survey No 63 of the same village turns west and runs along the western boundaries of Survey No 63, 69, 73 and 74 of the same village. Then the line turns east, following the northern boundaries of Survey Nos 75 and 76 of the same village and runs north along the western boundaries of Survey Nos 77, 115, 116, 118 and 119 of Maragowdanahalli Village where it meets the cart-track of Kumbarakoppal village 556' from the 5th furlong of the 4th mile on Belagola Road. From this point, the line runs east and following the same cart-track, runs along the northern boundaries of Survey Nos 119, 121, 124 and 123 and crossing the Mysore-Belagola Road runs along the eastern boundaries of Survey Nos 141, 139, 140, 130, 229 and meets the north-east corner of Survey No 225 of the same Maragowdanahalli village. Then the line runs south-east along the northern boundaries of Survey Nos 224, 223, 4 and 5 and following the eastern boundary of Survey No 8 of the same village crosses the Mysore-Arsikere line within Survey No 8 and following the same cart track along the eastern boundary of Survey No 9, crossing the Mysore-Bangalore Railway line just at the south-east corner of Survey No 14 of the same village, and going again still towards the east, keeping the northern boundary of Survey No 205 of the same village, meets the Municipal boundary stone No 2 on the old Belagola Road

2 Then the line follows the southern boundary of Survey No 192 of Margowdanahalli village till it meets the south-east corner of Survey No 192, and from this point it turns towards the south, keeping the western boundary of Survey No 182 of the same village and meets the south-west corner of Survey No 182 and then the line turns towards the east keeping the southern boundary of Survey Nos - 182, 181, 180 of the same village and turning at the south-east corner of Survey No:- 180 and going northward forming the eastern boundary of Survey No 180 meets the south-west corner of Survey No 43 of Belavatha village. From this point the line runs towards north following the western boundaries of Survey No 43 and 44 of the same village meets the north-western corner of Survey No 44 and turning towards the east keeping the northern boundary of Survey No 44 meets the south-west corner of Survey No 45 of the same village. Then the line runs north along the western boundaries of Survey Nos 45 and 46 of Belavatha village meets the south-east corner of Survey No 171 of Margowdanahalli village. Then the line turns south-west meeting the north-eastern corner of Survey No 172 and runs along the north-western boundary of the same survey No:- crosses the halla and skirting along the western side of the halla, following the Eastern and Northern boundary of Survey No 156 & 157

of the same village and turning along the north-eastern boundary of Survey No 152 of the same village meets the tunnel to the hall at its junction with Coles Garden Road

3 From this point the line runs towards the north following the western boundary of Survey No 164 of Margowdanhalli village till it meets the south-east corner of Survey No 85 of Belavatha village. Then the line turning towards the east crosses Coles Gardens Road and runs along the southern boundaries of the Survey Nos 84 and 83 of the same village and turning towards north at the south-east corner of Survey No 83 and running along the western boundary of Survey No 81 of Belvatha village crosses the road and meets the south-west corner of Survey No 160 of the same village

4 When the line runs towards the east following the southern boundaries of Survey Nos 160 and 161, meets the south-west corner of Survey No 76 of Belavatha village and turning towards north following the western boundary of survey No 76, runs in a north-easterly direction forming the northern boundaries of Survey Nos 76, 166 and 167 of the same village and meets the Bangalore Nilgiri road at a point a little beyond 250' from 3/85 furlong stone in the same road

5 From this point the line crossing the Bangalore Nilgiri road runs towards south keeping the western boundary of Survey Nos 193, 194, 203, 204, 205, 248, 249, 250, 258, 259, 260 & 261 of ~~the~~ Belavatha village meets the north-west corner of Survey No 6 of the same village. When the line turns east following the northern boundary of survey Nos 6 of the same village and skirting survey No 266 and 276 of the same village meets the south-west corner of Survey No 273. Then the line runs towards the east following the southern boundary of Survey Nos 273 and 234, meets the old Seringapatam road at the north-east corner of Survey No 274 of the same village. When the line runs towards the south following the western boundaries of Survey Nos 134, 135, and 136 of Devanur village till it meets the south-west corner of Survey No 136. From this point the line runs in a south-easterly direction following the southern boundaries of Survey Nos 136, 137 and running along the direction of the cart-track keeping the northern boundaries of Survey Nos 144 and 143 of Devanur village, still runs further south following the eastern boundaries of Survey Nos 149, 150, 162, 161, 159, 158, 157 & 156 of Devanur village, and 53 and 55 of Erangere village till it meets the north-west corner of Survey No 57 of Erangere village meets the nalla at the north-east corner of Survey No 57 of the same village and turns at this point towards the south, running along the above Nalla, keeping the eastern boundaries of Survey Nos 57, 60 and 61, north-eastern corner of 33 and skirting 32 and goes along the eastern boundary of Survey No 30 of Erangere village and meets the City Municipal Boundary stone No 6 on the Mysore Mahadevpur Road at 4th furlong of the first mile

*The same V Naga after
rounding the cart-track
on this point the line
is going along the northern
boundary of Survey no 57*

6 From this point the line, still following the same nalla or stream towards the south intersects Survey No 21 of Kyathamanahalli village and following the eastern boundaries of Survey Nos 22, 23, 26 and 30 of Kyathamanahalli village, meets the feeder channel, skirting survey Nos 33, 39, 40 and 36 of Kyathamanahalli village and turning towards the south, meets the south-west corner of Survey No 56 and going along the western boundary of Survey Nos 56, 57 and 69 of Kyathamanahalli village meets the City Municipal Boundary stone No 7 between 3rd and 4th furlong stones of 92nd mile of Mysore-Bangalore Road

7 From this point, the line intersects the Survey No 67 of Kyathamanahalli village and following the western boundary of Survey Nos 62, 63, 84, 70, 69, 68, 76 and 126 of Kurubarahalli village passes along the southern boundary of Kurubarahalli village, skirts of the Survey No 1 of the same village, crosses the Chamundi Hill Road and meets the City

Municipal boundary stone No 8 at 5th furlong of the 1st mile

8 From this point, the line runs along the northern boundary of Survey Nos 4, 5, 42, 41, 40, 39, 53, 54 and skirting Survey No 135 of Kurubarahalli village, runs along the western boundary of Survey No 137, and turning again at this point towards the west goes along the northern boundaries of Survey Nos 18, 17, 16, 15, 145, 146 and 151 of Kurubarahalli village, and partially skirting Survey No 128 or Goblikatte and partially traversing, it passes along the western boundaries of Survey Nos 142, 141 and 148 of the Mysore Kasaba village and meets the City Municipal boundary stone No IX on Chamundi Hill Road via Goblikatte, just near the karnjee tank feeder

9 And from this point, the line passes along the southern boundaries of Survey No 140 and eastern boundary of Survey No 150 and skirting the Survey No 157 of Mysore Kasaba village, turns towards the south, forming the eastern boundary of Survey No 159 and again turning towards the west and following the southern boundaries of Survey No 159 and 160 of the Kasaba village, turns again towards the south and goes along the eastern boundaries of survey Nos 162, 163 and 164 of the Kasaba village and again turning towards the west keeping the southern boundary of Survey No 164 of the same Kasaba village meets the City Municipal boundary stone No 10 at 5th furlong of 90th mile of Bangalore-Nilgiri Road

6
village

10 and 11 From this point, the intending line runs south along the Bangalore-Nilgiri Road and crossing the same road, meets the south-east corner of Survey No 217 and again crossing the old Agrahar Road to Nanjangud at that point meets the south-east corner of Survey No 232. Then the line turns west, and runs along the south-east boundaries of Survey Nos 232 and 233 of the Kasaba village and turning south at the south-west corner of Survey No 233, runs along western boundary of Survey Nos 230, 229, 228 and 227, and skirting the survey No 142 and keeping the northern boundary of Survey of Survey Nos 141, 140, 139, 138 and 137 of the same village. Then the line turns west, and runs along the northern boundary of survey Nos 137, 112, 115 and 116 when the line turns north skirting the Survey No 118 and runs along the eastern boundary of Survey Nos 119, 133, 293 and 291 and crossing the halla meets the south-east corner of Survey No 290 of the same village. Then the line turning towards the north goes along the eastern boundary of Survey No 290 and skirting Survey No 239 and keeping the southern boundaries of Survey Nos 287, 286, 284 and 322 of Kasaba village, meets the City Municipal Boundary stone No 12 at 90th mile of Mysore-Manantody Road

12 From this point, the line keeps the western boundary of Survey No 329 of the Kasaba village, and turning towards the west and skirting the Survey No 330, runs towards the north along the cart-track to Kannegowdanakoppal or Malalvadi, then keeping the western boundaries of Survey Nos 360, 365 and 364 of Kasaba village, it crosses the Nanjangud railway line, and skirting Survey No 363 and ~~keeping on the western line, and skirting survey No 363~~ and keeping on the western boundaries of Survey Nos 368, 537, 369 and 381, skirts Survey No 383 and goes along the western boundary of Survey No 334 of the Kasaba village

7
3

From this point, the line runs along the southern and western boundaries of Kannegowdanakoppal village site, and then turning towards the west just at the north-west corner of the same village, goes along the northern boundary of Survey No 193 of Malalvadi village, and then skirting Survey No 6 on the eastern side, and going along the cart-track to Kukkarahalli Village, keeping to the northern boundary of Survey Nos 5, 8 and 12 of Malalvadi village,

Turns towards the north at the northwest corner of Survey No 12

7a

and crosses the cart-track keeping on the eastern boundaries of Survey Nos 26, 25 and 2 of Kukkarahalli Village and skirting the same village site on the south-west crosses the Kukkarahalli tank feeder channel near the kodu, and meets the City Municipal boundary stone No 13 just at the junction of Jayalakshmi Vilas Road and Bogadi Road at 275 feet from the 1st furlong on the 2nd mile of Bogadi Road

13 From this point, the line turns towards the west skirting the northern boundary and Bogadi Road and keeping the southern boundaries of Survey Nos 148, 147, 144, 143 and 142 of Bogadi village turns north following the eastern boundary of the feeder channel and keeping the western boundaries of Survey Nos 142, 141 and 131 of the same village. Then the line after crossing the cart-track from Bogadi village, goes north along the eastern boundary of the channel and the western boundaries of Survey Nos 251, 252, 255, 256, 257, 258 and 273 of Vijayasreepura and turning north-east, skirting the Kodihalli and keeping the north-western boundary of Survey Nos 264, 265 and 266 of the same village meets the boundary stone fixed 235' from the 1st furlong of the 4th mile of Yelwal Road

14 From the above description, it will be seen that the City of Mysore includes within its limits the parts of Hinkal, Padavarahalli, Maragowdanahalli, Belavatha, Brangere, Devanoor, Kyathamahalli (excluding village), Kurubarahalli, Kasaba Village, Kannegowdanakoppal, Kukkarahalli, Bogadi, Vijayasreepura including Jayalakshmi Vilas Mansion and quarters on the west, tuberculosis Sanitorium and Banni Mantap and Motor Loop road on the north, portion of Narasimharaja Mohalla on the east and Sewage Farm on the south

PVR 3

[Signature]
 President

[Signature]
 By order
[Signature]
 Chief Secy/Bogadi
 9/5

To the Comptroller Mysore for publication &

sending 25 copies to the Secy, Mysore & 25 copies to the

President of the Secy, Mysore & 25 copies to the Secy, Bangalore

L 8904 d 20-5-1936

Copies forwarded to the Secy, Mysore for information, with the request that objections if any need to the proposal may be sent to Bogadi after the expiry of the period of 2 months referred to above

L 8905 d 20-5-1936

Copies to the President, Secy, Mysore with reply to the letter no ET 2335 d 5/5/36

4

Pet No 2201

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE

Bangalore Dated 27 6 1936

8/23

~~ml-35-670~~

The accompanying petition addressed to the Dewan is for
warded with compliments to the Chief Secretary to Government
for disposal


REV SEC
15 JUNE 1936
DATE 15/6/36

CHIE
29 JUNE 1936
ONE APP
27/6/36

By Order

W. Purne 27/6

Personal Assistant to the Dewan



To
THE DEWAN SAHIB OF MYSORE,
B A N G A L O R E

MOST HONOURED SIR,

We, the Yejamans of Kyathemanahalli, Nazarbada Mohalla, Mysore, beg to bring the following few lines hoping that our humble prayers will be granted

We beg to submit that for the past four years our Kyathemanahalli has been included within the City limits and accordingly the Municipality have collected House Taxes, Cart taxes etc, amounting to over Rs 3000/- but we have not been given any facility with regards to Roads Light, Sanitation etc, not a single pie has been spent on our Village by the Municipality

Without any just cause and reason the Municipality without even asking our opinion, have Resolved to remove our village from the Municipal Limits

In view of the fact that we have not been a burden to the Municipality we cannot see the justice of removing us. If it is found necessary to remove Villages, other villages like -Kannegowdana Poppal, Vontikoppal, Paduvarahalli etc, which are a burden to the Municipality, may also be removed - we do not see the justice or fairness for our village to be removed and the others retained

We most humbly request you to be most graciously pleased to pass Orders that the Municipal Council Resolution removing the village from within the Municipal Limits be kindly cancelled. If we should prove a burden and it is found necessary to exclude our village, it may be done so when the occasion arises till then the Municipal Council Resolution may be kindly set aside

We respectfully beg to point out that by including us and then throwing us out like playthings is not reasonable or just It also demeans us in the eyes of the public and our neighbours

We most humbly and respectfully pray God Almighty that He may grant your Honour and also our beloved Sovereign His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, a Happy Voyage to Europe and return with better health and long life

Hoping that our humble request will be granted,


BANGALORE

23-6-1936

We beg to remain,
Most Honoured Sir,
Your Honour's Most Obedient and
Humble Servants,

THE YEJAMANS OF KYATHAMANAHALLI

Lt Thumb Isrk of
Yejaman Javariah


Yejaman Kariappa.

H C Javaraiyya

Yejaman Venkatiah,

do Ganiappa,

do Manchnaiah

29
GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat 28

No L 132411 Dated Bangalore 4th July 1936

From

The Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Sir,

In continuation of this office Memo No L 8904 - -
dated 20th May 1936 regarding the proposed exclusion of the
Kyathamahalli village from the limits of the Mysore City
Municipality I am directed to forward herewith an objection
petition in original received from the ^{Yejamans} ~~Yejamans~~ of the said
village and to request that the same may be sent up to Govern-
ment along with other objection petitions if any received
against the proposal within the time specified in Notification
No L 8903/M1 101-35-14 dated 20th May 1936

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

For Draft

for Chief Secretary to Government
Rmr

TRM 2

SUBJECT

29

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

()
the 193

Date of issue 4-7-36

Signed by Mr B. M.

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Section ML

File No 101-35

Serial No

No L 132 ELI

To


The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore Dt

Sir, In continuation of this office Memo No L 8904 dated 20-5-36 regarding the proposed exclusion of the Kyathamamahalli Village from the limits of the Mysore city Municipality, I am directed to forward herewith an objection ^{in original recd} petition, from the yeomen of ^{said} the village and to request that the same may be sent up to Govt along with other objection petitions, if any, received against the proposal within the time specified in the M2 L 8903. As 20/5/36 I have etc

[Handwritten signature]
4/7/36

ml 107-35-14

3/7/36
For Chief Secy Govt
Rmr



37

Nazarbad Mohalla,
Mysore, 18-7-1936

From

The Residents of Kyathamahalli,
Mysore

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District,
Mysore

Received

20-7-36

D₃ Early

20/7/36

Honoured & Respected Sir,

In accordance with Gazette Notification No L 903 - Ml 101-35-14, dated 20th May 1936, we the Yajmans and accredited leaders of Kyathamahalli beg to protest against the proposed realignment of the Mysore City boundary which tends to exclude our village from the City Municipal limits (See Clause 14)

2 We beg to point out that about four years back the Mysore City Municipality resolved to include our village within Municipal limits and the Government, approving of the proposal, realigned the boundary, and for the past 4 years we have had the privilege of being a part of the Mysore City

3 The grounds for including the village within City limits, among other things were

- 1) That the village being in the near
vicinity

vicinity of the Town, was a source of constant danger to the City

11) That lying practically adjoining Chamundi Vihar, the residence of H H The Yuvaraja it was a source of constant danger to the Royal Family

III) That as the City was hemmed in on the North-Eastern side, it was desirable to extend towards that direction

iv) That one of the duties of a Municipality was to widen its activities and exercise its good influence over places within close vicinity of the Town and gradually absorb them

These and other considerations induced the Mysore City Municipality to include the village within Municipal limits and the same reasons and grounds still hold good

4 During this period of nearly four years the Municipality has collected nearly Rs 3000/- from us in the way of taxes etc , and in return the Municipality has not spent a single pie to benefit the village Beyond a meagre supply of water which however is the generous gift of H H the Maharaja and not due to Municipal consideration, the Municipality has not raised a finger to give us drains, sanitation, roads or lights In this respect the Municipality has been criminal in its neglect of obligation and have thwarted our ambitions and desire to improve our conditions

5 In view of the fact that we have not been a burden to the Municipality we cannot see the justice of excluding us, without even our opinion being taken in the matter. If we should prove a burden and it is found necessary to exclude our village on this score, it may be done when the occasion arises, but not now.

6 We further wish to point out the injustice and unreasonableness of throwing out our village while retaining others. If it is found necessary to remove villages from Municipal limits, why retain Kannegowdankoppal, Vontikoppal, Padavarhalli and many other villages as can be seen from the said Government notification and which are a burden on the Municipality in virtue of the many Municipal amenities extended to them. Why choose our village for this invidious distinction? A village which has not received a single pie's benefit from the Municipality, on the other hand it has been a source of income to the Municipality!

7 In conclusion we beg to point out that our sole aim and desire for being included in the Municipal limits, is for improving our conditions and bettering our environments. And it is the prime duty of the Mysore City Municipality to encourage and help us in our efforts to better ourselves. As a civic body which is receiving Government aid and with certain obligations to widen its activities and extend its civic influence and control over adjacent quarters, the Mysore City Municipality would be failing in its responsibility and obligations in summarily throwing out Kvathamahalli from City Municipal limits.

limits as proposed

We therefore humbly beg to beseech
your honour to extend a helping hand and encourage
our aspirations for betterments, by so arranging
the alignment of the City boundaries, as to include
Kyathamahalli village within the Mysore City
Municipal limits

For which act of kindness and mercy,
we shall ever remain grateful to you

ಎಲೆ ಕೃಪಾಕಾರಿಣಿ

ಪ್ರವೀಣರು

We beg to remain

Honoured & Respected

Sir,
Your most humble & grateful
servants

ಬಹುಮಾನದೊಡನೆ

ಕು.ಎ.ಕೆ.ನಲ್ಲಿ ನು ಕುರುಂಬು ಬಹುಮಾನದೊಡನೆ ನಮನ

ಕು.ಎ.ಕೆ.ನಲ್ಲಿ
ನು ಕುರುಂಬು
ಬಹುಮಾನದೊಡನೆ
ನಮನ

ಕು.ಎ.ಕೆ.ನಲ್ಲಿ
ನು ಕುರುಂಬು
ಬಹುಮಾನದೊಡನೆ
ನಮನ

ಕು.ಎ.ಕೆ.ನಲ್ಲಿ
ನು ಕುರುಂಬು
ಬಹುಮಾನದೊಡನೆ
ನಮನ

ಕು.ಎ.ಕೆ.ನಲ್ಲಿ
ನು ಕುರುಂಬು
ಬಹುಮಾನದೊಡನೆ
ನಮನ

ಕು.ಎ.ಕೆ.ನಲ್ಲಿ ನು ಕುರುಂಬು ಬಹುಮಾನದೊಡನೆ ನಮನ

ಕು.ಎ.ಕೆ.ನಲ್ಲಿ ನು ಕುರುಂಬು ಬಹುಮಾನದೊಡನೆ ನಮನ

ಕು.ಎ.ಕೆ.ನಲ್ಲಿ ನು ಕುರುಂಬು ಬಹುಮಾನದೊಡನೆ ನಮನ

ಕು.ಎ.ಕೆ.ನಲ್ಲಿ ನು ಕುರುಂಬು ಬಹುಮಾನದೊಡನೆ ನಮನ

ಕು.ಎ.ಕೆ.ನಲ್ಲಿ ನು ಕುರುಂಬು ಬಹುಮಾನದೊಡನೆ ನಮನ

ಕು.ಎ.ಕೆ.ನಲ್ಲಿ ನು ಕುರುಂಬು ಬಹುಮಾನದೊಡನೆ ನಮನ

ಕು.ಎ.ಕೆ.ನಲ್ಲಿ ನು ಕುರುಂಬು ಬಹುಮಾನದೊಡನೆ ನಮನ

ಕು.ಎ.ಕೆ.ನಲ್ಲಿ ನು ಕುರುಂಬು ಬಹುಮಾನದೊಡನೆ ನಮನ

ಬಹುಮಾನದೊಡನೆ

ಕು.ಎ.ಕೆ.ನಲ್ಲಿ ನು ಕುರುಂಬು ಬಹುಮಾನದೊಡನೆ ನಮನ

By Road Post-
CHIEF SECRETARY
11 FEB 1936

To

The Chief Secretary
to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore

Respected and Honoured Sir,

We the Yajmans and accredited leaders of Kyathranhalli, most respectfully beg to submit this humble petition for your kind and magnanimous consideration and we earnestly pray that you will be graciously pleased to pass favourable orders

Our village which is situated near Chamundi Vihar has for want of proper sanitation, drainage etc, been a source of danger not only to Mysore City, but to the Royal family residing within a few hundred yards of our place, as our village has been the jumping off ground for all the epidemic diseases that visit Mysore City

Realising the advantage of coming under the administration of a progressive Municipality and wishing to improve our status and condition, we appealed to the Mysore City Municipality to include the village within the City limits and the said Municipality after an exhausted inquiry spreading over nearly 2 years, realised the need for such action and duly approved and resolved that the village be brought under its jurisdiction and control

The benign Government fully approved of the proposal and passed a Government order realigning the City boundary so as to include our village within the City Municipal limits

For nearly 4 years we have been under the administrative control of the Mysore City Municipality and the said Municipality has during this period collected nearly ₹ 3000/- from us in the shape of various taxes. In return except for being included within the Municipality and deriving certain

benefit from cart taxes, we have not received a single pie's worth of benefit up to date. Although we were in urgent need of Municipal amenities such as lights, water, sanitation etc., we did not wish to press and embarrass the Municipality, hoping the said body would realise its responsibilities and they themselves would eventually meet these obligations.

This being the state of affairs, we to our great surprise came to hear that the Municipality were proposing to exclude our village from the City limits. We therefore petitioned the Municipality pointing out that such an action was not justifiable and that they would be failing in their responsibilities and obligations if they gave effect to the contemplated action.

We regret to say that our prayers were futile and the Municipality at a Resolution passed a few months back have resolved to exclude the village from City limits.

Sir, in appealing to our hon'ble Government, we beg to point out⁽¹⁾ that the action of the Municipality in setting aside a Government Order including our village within Municipal limits is wrong.

(2) That after benefitting themselves to the extent of nearly Rs 3000/- from us and not giving us any return, the action of the Municipality in now throwing us out is equally wrong and unjustifiable.

(3) That it is the duty of every Municipality not to evade its responsibility in not taking under its control all such conditions which are likely to effect the health of the City.

(4) It is the duty of the Municipality to keep this village under its control in view of the fact that it is within a few hundred yards of Chamundi Vihar where Royalty resides and the Staff Quarters.

(5) That after being included within the Municipal limits for nearly 4 years, the Municipality should now throw us out in preference to any other village is wrong and unjustifiable.

In this connection we wish to point out that no action of throwing out has been taken by the Municipality against Vontikoppal Padvarahalli, Lanregowiankoppal, Kurubarhalli etc, which are within municipal limits and are being administered by the municipality

One of the reasons for the Municipality throwing us out is said to be due to administrative difficulty. May we point out that the same difficulties that have arisen from the above several villages and if such difficulties if any have been not right, surely the same could have been done in the case of our village

We therefore humbly beseech you to be graciously pleased to consider our prayers sympathetically and order that our village should not be excluded from the municipal limits and its administration and further that early steps be taken to afford us municipal amenities which we are in urgent need of

We further wish to point out Sir, that after being within the City jurisdiction for nearly 4 years and becoming known as citizens of Mysore City, it would lower our prestige and lower us in the eyes of others, if we are thrown out and relegated to the same state previous to our being included in the City of Mysore

We therefore humbly beseech and beg of you to kindly aid and succour us by granting our prayers

We humbly beg to be

Sir,

Your most faithful and obedient servant,

ಅಬ್ದುಲ್ ಕರೀಂ
ಅಬ್ದುಲ್ ಕರೀಂ

L T M mark of Yajaman Javaraappa

L T M mark of Yajaman Siddappa

P T O

these circumstances I think the
Municipal Council to exclude Kyatamangalli
cipal limits is not as well considered as their r-
of 5 years ago for including it within the Municip

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

V. K. Mahalingam

Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated Bangalore 14th September 1936

No

From

The Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District

Sir

With reference to your letter No D 3 4273/35-36 dated 25th August 1936 forwarding with your opinion the objections received -- against the proposal of the Mysore City Municipal Council to exclude the Kyathamahalli village from the Mysore City Municipal limits, I am directed to state that the President, City Municipal Council, Mysore and yourself may further discuss the matter between yourselves and report to Government what both of you consider to be the best arrangement in the special circumstances of the village.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
Sd/- B T Kesava Iyengar,

Chief Secretary to Government.

Memo No

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the President, City Municipal Council, Mysore, with reference to his letter No G T 2338, dated 5th May 1936 for information

By Order,

for Chief Secretary to Government

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

()
the 193

Date of issue

7/4/38

Signed by

[Signature]

List of enclosures

Copied by

10/1/38

Examined by

Section MR

File No

101-35

Serial No

No

2054

To

The Dy Comm, Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your letter No D3-4273/35-36 dated 25-8-36 with your inclosure forwarding the objections received against the proposal of the Mysore City Municipal Council to exclude the Kyathamanahalli Village from the Mysore City Municipal limits, and ~~stating that you are not in favour of the resolution of the Municipal Council for reasons given~~ I am directed to state that the President, City Municipal Council, Mysore and yourself may further discuss the matter between yourselves and report to

By aff^r what vote of you ~~are~~ considered
to be the best arrangement in the
special circumstances of the release

I have etc

16 8 9/9/36

For Chief Secy to Govt

Rmr

W/C

2005

Copy to the President, City Municipal
Council, Mysore, with reference to his
letter No. of T-2338 dated 5-5-36 for information

-By order

8 9/9/36

For Chief Secy to Govt

Rmr

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE ⁴⁴

General and Revenue Secretariat

No *L 5003/enr*

Bangalore Dated 8th January 1937
13

From

The *Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore*

To

The President,
City Municipal Council,
Mysore


Sir

In continuation of this office Memo No L 2005 dated 14th September 1936 regarding the proposed exclusion of Kyathamana Hall Village from the Mysore City Municipal limits, I am directed to forward herewith copy of letter No D3-4273/35-36/1 dated 23rd December 1936 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore, on the subject, and to request that your agreement with the Deputy Commissioner's views may be intimated early

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For draft?
for Rm

Chief Secretary to Government

No
Encl

D3 4273/35-36

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Camp Bangalore
Dated Mysore the 23rd Dec 36

From

T G Rama Iyer Esq B A
Deputy Commissioner Mysore District



B T Kesaviengar Esq B A
Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Bangalore

Sir

Referring to your letter No L 2004/M1 1-1-35 dated 14th September 1936 requesting me to discuss with the President Mysore City Municipal Council the proposal of the Mysore City Municipal Council ~~xxx~~ to exclude the Kyathamannahalli village from the Mysore City Municipal limits I have the honour to state that the matter has been discussed between us and the President has been personally convinced of the view taken by me in my letter No L D3 4273/35-36 dated 25th August 1936 I therefore request that Kyathamannahalli village may not be excluded from the Mysore City Municipal limits

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE ⁴⁴

General and Revenue Secretariat

No *L 5003/enr*

Bangalore Dated 8th January 1937
13

From

The *Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore*

To

The President,
City Municipal Council,
Mysore

Sir

In continuation of this office Memo No L 2005 dated 14th September 1936 regarding the proposed exclusion of Kyathamanahalli Village from the Mysore City Municipal limits, I am directed to forward herewith copy of letter No D3-4273/35-36 ^{dated 23rd December 1936} from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore, on the subject, and to request that your -- agreement with the Deputy Commissioner's views may be intimated early


I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For draft?

for Rmr


Chief Secretary to Government

Appendix F G-1]

SUBJECT

45

Diary No of letter, plied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

()
the 193

Date of issue 13 / 37

Signed by Mr B M Krishnaiah

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Section

File No

Serial No

No L 5003/2001

To

The President, city Municipal
Council, Mysore

Sir,

In continuation of this office
Memo No L 2005 dated 14-9-36
regarding the proposed exclusion
of Kyathwamnahalli - Village from
the Mysore city Municipal limits
I am directed to forward herewith
copy of letter No D2-4273/35-36
from the D.M. Mysore, on the subject
dated 23-12-36 and to request
~~you to be so good as to state~~
~~whether the statement of~~
~~the D.C. Mysore that you have~~
~~agreed with his view that~~
~~Kyathwamnahalli Village~~
~~should be excluded from the limits~~

~~of the Japanese city Municipality~~
~~be accepted~~ that your agreement with the ~~the~~
Kens may be indicated and

I have etc

88
5/1/37

for ching sang wong

Rom

No of T 2572/Sec,

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL MYSORE

Dated 10th May 1937

From

M A SREENIVASAN Esq B A
PRESIDENT CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL
MYSORE

5102
L

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore

952147
REC'D

ml 101-35-22

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No L 5003 dated 8/13-1-1937 in the matter of the proposed exclusion of Kyathamahally village from Mysore City Municipal limits, I have the honour to state that the Municipal Council have decided to exclude the portion of the village now within the limits of the Municipality, in view of the administrative difficulties involved as detailed in the accompanying copy of a Note of my predecessor, Mr B I Kesava Iyengar and I fully concur with the views expressed therein

As matters stand at present, a portion of the said village to the extent of about a square mile is within the limits of the City, and the remaining portion continues to function as a village panchayat. It seems better that the entire village should continue to be under the village panchayat, as the present arrangement has caused much inconvenience in point of general administration and collection of revenue etc. The revenue derived from the portion of the village within the Municipality is entirely inadequate to provide the village with any civic amenities. What is ^{more} ~~much~~ important, there are already several villages within the Municipal limits, such as, Padavarahally, Kannegowdanakoppal etc, which have been starved of their needs from a long time past for want of sufficient funds and which call for a great deal of improvement and expense. It would be extremely difficult to shoulder the responsibility of taking over more villages, in the present state of the finances of the Municipality.

It was in view of these facts and after a careful consideration of all the aspects of the question that the Municipal Council decided to request Government to order the exclusion of the said portion from the City limits.

I have the honour to be,

Your most obedient servant,

~~President~~

三

Office of the President, ⁴⁶
City Municipal Council, Mysore
Dated 6th December 1934

Note

Subject:- Exclusion of Kyathamanahalli from Municipal limits

A portion of the village of Kyathamanahalli situated on the north east of the City was, on the recommendation of the Municipal Council included within the City limits in Government Notification No L 4454/Ml 138-32-4 dated 5th January 1933 and has been assessed to Mohatarfa and vehicle taxes with effect from the official year 1933-34. The annual demand against the village on account of Mohatarfa and vehicle taxes is Rs 305/- and Rs 368/- respectively. The portion of the village included in Municipal limits is nearly 1 sq mile and the road leading to the village is only a track.

In dealing with the collection of arrears due to the Village Panchayat from the village before its inclusion in the City limits, the Revenue authorities enquired whether orders of Government had been obtained as regards the assets and liabilities of the village Panchayat before extending the Municipal limits. In this connection, a joint inspection of the village by the President in company with the Amildar of the Mysore Taluk was arranged and at that time it was observed that it is not desirable that only a portion of the village should be included in the City limits, the other portion continuing to function as a Village Panchayat, inasmuch as this arrangement caused much inconvenience in point of general administration and collection of revenue. It was urged that the entire village should either be within Municipal limits, or should continue to function as a village Panchayat. It was also observed that the village had not been provided with the required civic amenities in regard to street lighting, water supply and sanitary facilities, although it is nearly two years since it was included within Municipal limits. The question of cost comes in the way of providing these facilities, and it is doubtful, if in the near future we can find money for expenditure on this village. As a remedy to the above inconveniences, it was suggested that the portion of the Kyathamanahalli village included within the City limits, may be

restored to the village Panchayat. It was also observed by the Revenue authorities that the amount collected by the Municipality barring their actual expenses, if any, may be paid back to the village Panchayat funds.

In view of the above facts, it is proposed that the portion of the Kyathamahalli village now within the Municipal limits may be restored to the Village Panchayat. The amount of taxes ~~accruing~~^{accruing} from the village during the period it remains to be a part of the Municipal area has entirely to be credited to Municipal Funds, and no portion of it can be transferred to the Village Panchayat.

The one difficulty that the villagers feel by excluding the village from the City limits is that their carts which will be frequently entering the City will be denied the benefit of registration, and that they will therefore have to pay heavy sums annually to the Municipality in the shape of toll. This difficulty may, however, be mitigated by compounding the toll amount as per Section 83 (4) of the City Municipalities Regulation.

The matter is placed before the Managing Committee for their consideration.

Sd/-B I Kesava Iyengar,
President

"Copy"


President

PVR

148

DB

8224 57
2nd 101-35-23

Dear Sir

With reference
to the correspondence
ending with your letter
nos 2 257 of date ^{10/11/37}
1/37 about the proposed
exclusion of Kyathamam
-hatti village from the
municipal limits, I
am desired to make
reference to para 14
of the M.O. no 28903
/ ml 107-35-14 dated
20 11 36 wherein the
following is "Kyathamam
excluding village" occur
and to enquire whether
the entire Revenue
village or only the
village site (gastana

98/16/37
Signed M. S. S. S.

1/11/37
7/16/37

as proposed to
excluded from the

Mysore city - M. limits

A very early reply

as requested

Yours sincerely
L. S. 10/10/20

M. a. Sannasani Esq. B. A.

President Mysore
City M.C.C.
9

It may also be
checked if necessary
14 is not correct
may be found
in the book

M A SREENIVASAN
PRESIDENT

ml 101-35-24
CITY MUNICIPAL OFFICE
MYSORE
July 22, 1937 52

LSS
26/7
mm

am K¹⁰¹

477
L

Dear Sir

2
26/7/

With reference to Assistant Secretary's
D O letter No L 8724/Ml 0-35 dated 7/8th June
1937 regarding the proposal to exclude Kyathamana-
halli Village from the Mysore City Municipal
limits I write to state that the major portion
of Kyathamanahalli Village including the village
site or Gavtana is proposed to be excluded from
the City limits Paragraph 14 may be re-printed
in the final Notification as " Kyathamanahalli
(excluding village site or Gavtana)" in place
of " Kyathamanahalli (excluding Village) "

Yours sincerely

M A Sreenivasan

P H Krishna Rau Esq M A
Secretary to Government
L S G Department BANGALORE

MIN

26/7

Section mc

Serial No 25

Serial No 2
2/180

The President, city of Mysore

Sw, _____

()

With reference to the

2/8/57

14. 11. 1941

Correspondence ending with four

Letter No. of T-257 dated 22-7-37

regarding the exclusion of

- Kyathamahal Village from -

The Mysore city Municipal limits,

- I am directed to request that

you to be so good as to verify

— make reference to the map of the —

city - ~~proposed~~ boundary, y the ^{proposed} boundary

---line--- excludes the village site

of Kyath amarahalli and the

submit a report on the matter

An entry in the map may be

be forwarded for reference.


When no conf requested
GPB-30 000-1^o 3 37 2 hours

I have

Room for ² x 11-2

Examined by

No 97953



1625
OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL MYSORE

Dated 24th September 1937

From

M A SREENIVASAN Esq B A
PRESIDENT CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL
MYSORE

To

27 SEPT

HL 101-35-26

The Secretary to Government,
Local Self Government, Department
BANGALORE

Sir,

With reference to your letter No L 1180 M1 101-35-25 dated the 1st ultimo regarding the exclusion of Kyathamana Halli Village from the Mysore City Municipal limits, I have the honour to state that on a verification with the map of the City it is seen that the boundary line excludes the village site as already submitted in G T 257 dated 22-7-37. A copy of the map showing the boundary line marked in red is also forwarded for reference as desired.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

PRESIDENT

HPN/

3

No. 732

1705
THE PALACE MYSORE

September 1937

29 SEPT

Leg
431
URE

The petition of which particulars are given below to His Highness the Maharaja is forwarded with compliments for favour of disposal

RM
To

The Secretary to the Government

of H H the Maharaja of Mysore

L S C, Department
Bangalore

By Order

Subbayy Obay

In Private Secretary
to H H the Maharaja of Mysore
M. H. H.

negotiated / proposed
structure
made for
we demand?

For

2993 No P 138 of 37-38

2/29/11 From the inhabitants of Kyatmanahalli
praying for proper water supply and for
the continuance of the City Municipal
administration over the village



ಮೈಸೂರು ಶ್ರೀಮಫ ಮಹಾರಾಜ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೃಷ್ಣರಾಜೇಂದ್ರ ಒಡೆಯರ್ ಬಹದ್ದೂರ್

ಜಿ ಸಿ ಎಸ್ ಐ , ಜಿ ಬಿ ಇ , ಯವರ ಮಹಾಸನ್ನಿಧಾನದಲ್ಲಿ

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಿಟಿ ನಜರ್‌ಬಾದ್ ಮೊಹಲ್ಲಾ ಕ್ಯಾತಮಾನಹಳ್ಳಿ ಗ್ರಾಮಸ್ಥರ ಅರ್ಜಿ

ವ ಹಾಸ್ಯಾಮಿ,

ನಮ್ಮ ಗ್ರಾಮವು ಮೈಸೂರು ನಜರ್‌ಬಾದ್ ಮೊಹಲ್ಲಾಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿ ಈಗ್ಗೆ ಸುಮಾರು 67 ವರ್ಷಗಳಾಯಿತು ನಾವು ಮಾಮೂಲಾಗಿ ಕಂದಾಯ ವಗೈರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಯಾವ ತಕರ ರೂ ಇಲ್ಲದೆ ಕಟ್ಟಿಕೊಂಡು ಬರುತ್ತಾ ಇರುತ್ತೇವೆ ನಮ್ಮ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ದ ಮುಂದಕ್ಕೂ ಸುಮಾರು ಲಕ್ಷ ಮೈಲಿ ವರೆಗೆ ಟೌನ್ ಬಡಾಯಿಸಿ ಮುಂದುವರಿದಿದೆ ಉಕ್ಕಡಗಳು ಮುಂದೆ ಹೊಗಿ ಮೈಸೂರು ಪಟ್ಟಣವು ನಮ್ಮ ದಿಕೆ ನಲ್ಲಿ ಇನ್ನೂ ಬಡಾಯಿಸುತ್ತಲೆ ಇದೆ ಈ ಗ್ರಾಮವು ಎತ್ತರವಾದ ಆರೊ ಗೃಹವಾದ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿರುವುದು ಮುಂಗಾರು ಆರು ತಿಂಗಳ ಪಾಳೆಯು ಈ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಮೇಲಿಂದ ಮೈಸೂರಿಗೆ ಬಿಡುತ್ತದೆ ಈ ಗ್ರಾಮಕ್ಕೆ ಮಿಲಿಟರಿ ಬಂಗಲಿ ಗೌರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಹೌಸ್ ಲಲಿತಾದ್ರಿ ಪ್ಯಾಲೆಸ್ ಮಹಾಸನ್ನಿಧಾನದ ಮತ್ತು ಯುವರಾಜರವರ ಆರಮನಗಳೂ ಅತಿ ಸಮಾಪವಾಗಿರುತ್ತವೆ

ಈ ಗ್ರಾಮದವರು ಮಹಾಸನ್ನಿಧಾನದ ಕೊಠಡಿಯೊಳಗಿನ ಮತ್ತು ಚಾಮುಂಡಿ ಶ್ವರಿ ಜಿಟ್ಟಿದ ತೇರಳೆಯು ವುದು ಮಹಾಸನ್ನಿಧಾನದ ವರ್ಧಂತಿ ಮತ್ತು ನವರಾತ್ರಿ ಮಹೊತ್ಸವಗಳ ಉತ್ಸವ ಕಾಲಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಲೈಟ್ ಹಿಡಿಯುವುದು ವಗೈರಿ ಕೆಲಸಗಳ ಹಕ್ಕುಬಾಧ್ಯತೆಯುಳ್ಳವರಾಗಿರುತ್ತೇವೆ ಮಹಾಸನ್ನಿಧಾನದವರು ನಮ್ಮ ಕಷ್ಟಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಾಂಬರಿಸಿ ನಿರನ ನಲ್ಲಿಗಳನ್ನು ಇಡಿಕೊಟ್ಟು ಕಾಪಾಡುತ್ತಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ ಈ ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿ 170 ಗಾಡಿಗಳೂ 350 ಮನೆಗಳೂ ಇರುತ್ತವೆ ಈ ಗ್ರಾಮವು ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಿಟಿಗೆ ಸೇರಿದಮೇಲೆ ಬ್ರಾಹ್ಮಣರು ಮರಾಠಿಯವರು ರಜಪೂತರು ಮುಸಲ್ಮಾನರು ವಗೈರಿ ರು ಬಂದು ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ನನೆ ಕಟ್ಟುತ್ತಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ ಗ್ರಾಮವು ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಬಡಾವಣೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುತ್ತಿರುವ ಅಂಶವನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಲು ಸಂತೋಷಿಸುತ್ತೇವೆ

ಈ ವರ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿ ಜುಲೈ 1 ನೆ ತಾರೀಖಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಮೂಲು ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಗಾಡಿ ನಂಬರಿಗೆ ಸಿಟಿ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಲ್ ಆಫೀಸಿಗೆ ಹೊಗಿರುವಲ್ಲಿ ಕ್ಯಾತಮಾನಹಳ್ಳಿ ಗ್ರಾಮವು ಸಿಟಿ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಲಿಟಿಗೆ ಸೇರಿಸಲು ಆಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದೂ ಈ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ಗೌರ್ನಮೆಂಟಿಗೆ ಬರೆದು ಮುಂದೂಡು ಹುಕುಂ ಬರುವವರೆಗೆ ಆರು ತಿಂಗಳು ಮಾತ್ರ ಗಾಡಿ ಕಂದಾಯ ಹಾಕುವುದಾಗಿ ತಿಳಿಸಿ ಹಾಗೆಯೇ ಹುಕುಂ ಬರುತ್ತಾರೆ ಇದು ಮುನಿಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೋಸ್ಟಿನ ತೀರ್ಮಾನದಂತೆ ನಡೆದಿರುತ್ತಿರುವುದು ತಿಳಿಯುವುದಿಲ್ಲ

ಹಿಂದೆ ಇದ್ದ ಪ್ರಸಿದ್ಧಿ ಟರು ಈ ಗ್ರಾಮವನ್ನು ಇನ್‌ಸ್ಟ್ರಕ್ಟ್ ಮಾಡಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಗಿ ಎರಡು ನಿರನ ನಲ್ಲಿಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಡಿಸುವುದಾಗಿ ಆಪ್ತಮಾಡಿ ಹೊದರು ಮುನಿಸಿಪಾಲಿಟಿಯವರು ನನೆ ಕಟ್ಟಲು ಲೈಸೆನ್ಸ್ ಮನೆ ಕಂದಾಯ ಗಾಡಿ ಕಂದಾಯ ವಗೈರಿ ಟ್ಯಾಕ್ಸುಗಳನ್ನು ವಸೂಲು ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾ ಕೋಸ್ತಿ ವಾಟಿಂಗಿನಲ್ಲಿ ನಮ್ಮ ಗ್ರಾಮವನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ಏರ್ಪಾಡನ್ನು ದಯವಿಟ್ಟು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಡೈನ ಜಿ 500 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನೂ ಇಬ್ಬರು ಝಾಡಮಾಲಿಗಳನ್ನೂ ಸ್ಟಾಂಪ್ ಮಾಡಿಸಿ ಗ್ರಾಮವನ್ನು ಉತ್ತಮಗೊಳಿಸುತ್ತಿರುವಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ನಡುವೆ ಸಿಟಿ ಲಿಮಿಟಿನ ದ ವಜಾಮಾಡುವ ವರ್ತಮಾನವನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿ ತುಂಬಾ ವಿಷಾದಿಸುತ್ತೇವೆ

ಹಲಿ ಝಾಡಮಾಲಿಗಳು ಈ ಗ್ರಾಮಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಮೇಲೆ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ರೈತರೂ ಸೇರಿ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಬಿ ದಿಗಳ ರಸ್ತೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೆತ್ತಿ ಚರಂಡಿ ಹೊಡೆದು ಸಂಪಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆ ಮಹಾಸನ್ನಿಧಾನದ ಯವರ ಉತ್ಸವಕಾಲಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಮಹಾಸನ್ನಿಧಾನದವರ ಸೇವೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ರಾತ್ರಿ 10 11 ಘೆ ಟಿಗಲಿ ಮನೆಗೆ ಬರುತ್ತೇವೆ ಪ್ರತಿ ದಿನವೂ ನಮ್ಮ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಹೆಂಗಸರು ಮತ್ತು ಗಂಡಸರು ಮಹಾಸನ್ನಿಧಾನದ ಪಟ್ಟಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಚಾಕರಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಪುನಃ ಸುನೆಗೆ ಬರುವುದು ರಾತ್ರಿ ಸುಮಾರು 10 11 ಘೆ ಟಿಗಲಿ ಮನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಒಳಕ್ಕೆ ಕತ್ತಲೆಯಲ್ಲೇ ಬರಬೇಕಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ ಮಳೆ ಘಳಿ ಕಲದಲ್ಲಂತೂ ನಮ್ಮ ಕಷ್ಟ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿರದು ಇದಾ ಬೆಳಕಿನಿಂದ ತೊಂದರೆಗಳಿದ್ದರೂ ನಾವು ದಯಸ್ಥರಾಗಿ ಈ ಅನುಕೂಲಗಳನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿ

ಲದುದರಿಂದ ನಮ್ಮಗೆ ಗಿ ನಿರನ ವಸತಿಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾತ್ರ ದಯವಿಟ್ಟು ಕಲ್ಪಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟು 3000 ಪ್ರಜಾಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯುಳ್ಳ ನಮ್ಮ ಗ್ರಾಮವನ್ನು ಮುನಿಸಿಪಲ್ ಲಿಮಿಟಿನಿಂದ ತೆಗೆಯದಂತೆ ಆಶ್ರಿತರನ್ನು ಕಾಪಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದೂ ಸಿಟಿ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಾಲಿಟಿಗೆ ಹಣಕಾಸುಗಳು ಒದಗುವವರೆಗೂ ಎಷ್ಟು ಸಾಧ್ಯವೋ ಅಷ್ಟು ಅನುಕೂಲಗಳನ್ನು ಕಲ್ಪಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟರೆ ಸಾಕಾಗಿರುತ್ತೆಂದೂ ಮಹಾಸನ್ನಿಧಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥಿಸುತ್ತೇವೆ

15/9/37

ತಮ್ಮ ಅಂತ ವಿಧೇಯ ರಾಡ
ಕ್ಯಾತಮಾನಹಳ್ಳಿ ಗ್ರಾಮಸ್ಥರು




ఇవెలాటవాల్సి ఒక్కన గుర్తినల యి వేవ హంకినా

ఇవెలాటవాల్సి ఒక్కన గుర్తినల యి వేవ హంకినా




ఇవెలాటవాల్సి ఒక్కన గుర్తినల యి వేవ హంకినా



ఇవెలాటవాల్సి ఒక్కన గుర్తినల యి వేవ హంకినా



ఇవెలాటవాల్సి ఒక్కన గుర్తినల యి వేవ హంకినా



ఇవెలాటవాల్సి ఒక్కన గుర్తినల యి వేవ హంకినా



ఇవెలాటవాల్సి ఒక్కన గుర్తినల యి వేవ హంకినా

సీదెయ్యనా



ఇవెలాటవాల్సి ఒక్కన గుర్తినల యి వేవ హంకినా



ఇవెలాటవాల్సి ఒక్కన గుర్తినల యి వేవ హంకినా

ఇవెలాటవాల్సి ఒక్కన గుర్తినల యి వేవ హంకినా



ఇవెలాటవాల్సి ఒక్కన గుర్తినల యి వేవ హంకినా

ఇవెలాటవాల్సి ఒక్కన గుర్తినల యి వేవ హంకినా

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE NARAYANA
General and Revenue Secretariat,
No. 23482/ml 101-35-27
Mysore, Bangalore, the 9th October 1937.

NOTIFICATION.

Whereas by proclamation published in pages 301-303 in Part III-3 of the Mysore Gazette dated 18th May 1936 and posted up in conspicuous places in Mysore City, it was duly announced under Section 7(1) of the Mysore City Municipalities Regulation, 1933, that it was proposed to alter the limits of the Mysore City Municipality and whereas the objections received against the proposal are, in their opinion, invalid, the Government of His Highness the Maharaja are pleased, in exercise of the powers conferred on them under Section 4(1) of the said Regulation, to alter the limits of the Mysore City Municipality and to declare that the boundaries of the Municipality shall with effect from the date of this notification be as follows:-

(Here enter as in the attached sheet)

By Order,

Sd/- P H Krishna Rao,

Secretary to Government,
L.S.D. Department.

To

The Compiler, Mysore Gazette, for publication in Part III-3 of the Gazette and supply of 25 spare copies to the President, City Municipal Council, Mysore and 10 copies to the Secretariat.

Memo No 23483-4 of 9-10-37

Copy of the above is forwarded, with compliments, (1) to the D C Mysore with reference to his letter No D3-4273/35-36 dated 23-12-1936 (2) President, City Municipal Council, Mysore, with reference to correspondence ending with letter No G 1 953 dated 25-9-1937 in/k plan

By Order,

Rev. Sajan Kumar

For Secretary to Government,
L.S.D. Department
Rm

per dix F G—1]

BJECT

ary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

()

the 193

ate of issue

9-10 37

igned by

Mr M S / t

List of enclosures

oped by

Examined by

Section *ME*

File No 101-35

Serial No 27

No 23482

~~73~~ Notification

whereas by proclamation
published in pages 301-303

in Part III-3 of the Mysore

Gazette dated 28th 36 and posted up

in conspicuous places in Mysore
city, it was duly announced

under Section 7 (1) of the Mysore
City Municipalities Regulation,

1933, that it was proposed to

alter the limits of the Myson
City Municipality and whereas

the objections received against

the proposal ~~was, in fact, possible~~
~~was considered~~

~~sustainable~~, the art of H H

exercise of the powers conferred on them under Section 4 (1) of the said Regulation, to alter the limits of the ^{Mysore} city and to declare that the ~~limits~~ boundaries of the Municipality shall with effect from ^{the date of} this notification be as follows -

[Here enter as in the attached sheet]

By order

for
1037

~~Chang Seay~~ 692
Rmr 259

W 21611

To The Compiler, Mysore Gazette for publication in Part III - 3 of the Gazette and supply of 25 copies to the President City Municipal Council, Mysore, and 10 copies to the Secretary -

Memo No 23483-4 of 9-10-37

Copy with compliments to the

(1) D C Mysore with reference to his letter

No D3-4273/35-36 dated 23-12-36

(2) President, City Municipal Council, Mysore
with reference to his letter No of T/2572 of 16-5-37 ^{953 A 25/9/37} ~~2572/227-37~~
^{correspondence ending with}

By order

W 21617

Chang Seay 692

b2

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
MYSORE DISTRICT

No D-4273/10-6
Encl 11

Dated Mysore the 18th October 1937.

FROM

M G MEHKRI ESQ BA LL B

~~T G RAMA IYER ESQ BA~~

Deputy Commissioner Mysore District

2003
2



H KRISH PAO, ESQ, I A,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Local Self Government Department, Bangalore

SIP

2/10/37

Subject - altering the limits of Mysore City Municipality

With reference to your Memo No L 3480-4 dated 9th October 1937, forwarding copy of the Notification altering the municipal limits of Mysore City, I have the honour to state that the sheet showing the boundaries of the municipality has not been received. I request that a copy of the same may kindly be sent for reference.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M S Kulkarni
Deputy Commissioner, 1937
Mysore District

A copy has been sent over -

Per
1 - 29/x